



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/812,025	03/30/2004	Kentaro Fujibayashi	392.1887	2804
21171	7590	08/14/2006	EXAMINER	
STAAS & HALSEY LLP SUITE 700 1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005			KASENGE, CHARLES R	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2125	

DATE MAILED: 08/14/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/812,025	FUJIBAYASHI ET AL.
	Examiner Charles R. Kasenge	Art Unit 2125

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 June 2006.
 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.
 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
 6) Claim(s) 1-9 and 11-19 is/are rejected.
 7) Claim(s) 10 and 20 is/are objected to.
 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
 10) The drawing(s) filed on 30 March 2004 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date: _____
3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date: _____	5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
	6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments, see Remarks, filed 6/6/06, with respect to the rejection(s) of the claim(s) 1-9 and 11-19 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Yutkowitz U.S. Patent 6,470,225.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

3. Claims 1-9 and 11-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yutkowitz U.S. Patent 6,470,225. Yutkowitz discloses a controller for controlling a machine according to control programs which are created in an NC program format (Fig. 10, #100), comprising: storage means for storing the control programs created in the NC program format in a way that distinguishes between a program to be executed periodically (col. 32, lines 48-56; col. 37, lines 15-25) and a program to be executed according to an execution command (col. 32, lines 57-58; col. 34, lines 34-41; Fig. 10, #118); conversion means for analyzing the programs stored in the storage means and converting the programs into programs in a form executable by the controller (col. 35, lines 34-49; Fig. 10, #108); and execution means for periodically executing the program to be executed periodically, converted by the conversion means into the executable

form, from the time when power to the machine is turned on until the time when the power is shut down (col. 35, lines 34-49). The Examiner interprets Yutkowitz as distinguishing between programs by storing them in different locations/addresses (Fig. 10, #118 and Fig. 8A, #138). The Office interprets that converting programs into executable form and executing the program only when the machine is on as inherent to CNC machine control.

Referring to claims 2-6 and 12-16, Yutkowitz discloses the controller according to claim 1, wherein the program to be executed periodically controls a sequence of the machine, the machine being a machine tool or an industrial machine (col. 25, lines 29-38). Yutkowitz implicitly discloses the controller according to claim 2, wherein the program for sequence control includes signal names of input/output signals for the machine and the controller, addresses of the input/output signals, and control commands for the input/output signals (col. 25, lines 29-38). Yutkowitz implicitly discloses the controller according to claim 3, wherein the signals names and addresses of the input/output signals are coded with alphabetic characters and numeric characters (col. 25, lines 29-38). Yutkowitz discloses the controller according to claim 2, wherein the program for sequence control includes a condition and a control command executed according to the condition (col. 25, lines 29-38). Yutkowitz discloses the controller according to claim 1, wherein the program to be executed according to said execution command is a program that controls motion of an axis of the machine (col. 25, lines 29-38).

Referring to claims 7-9 and 17-19, Yutkowitz discloses the controller according to claim 1, wherein said storage means stores the program in the executable form, which has been obtained by converting the program to be executed periodically by means of the conversion means, together with, or instead of, the program to be executed periodically (col. 32, lines 48-

56). Yutkowitz discloses the controller according to claim 1, wherein the conversion means converts the program to be executed periodically into the program in the executable form and stores the converted program in the storage means either when the machine is turned on or when the program is originally stored in the storage means (col. 32, lines 48-56). Yutkowitz discloses the controller according to claim 1, wherein the program to be executed periodically is distinguished from the program to be executed according to an execution command by program names of the programs (col. 32, lines 48-56 and col. 34, lines 34-41).

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 10 and 20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charles R. Kasenge whose telephone number is 571 272-3743. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8:30 - 5 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Leo Picard can be reached on 571 272-3749. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).



CK
August 8, 2006

LEO PICARD
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100